A method for determining intracloud lightning and ionospheric heights from VLF/LF electric field records

D. A. Smith, M. J. Heavner,¹ A. R. Jacobson, X. M. Shao, R. S. Massey, R. J. Sheldon, and K. C. Wiens

Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico, USA

Received 30 September 2002; revised 10 July 2003; accepted 18 December 2003; published 5 February 2004.

[1] The Los Alamos Sferic Array (LASA) recorded VLF/LF electric-field-change signals from over ten million lightning discharges during the period from 1998 to 2001. Using the differential-times-of-arrival of lightning sferics recorded by three or more stations, the latitudes and longitudes of the source discharges were determined. Under conditions of favorable geometry and ionospheric propagation, sensors obtained ionospherically reflected skywave signals from the lightning discharges in addition to the standard groundwave sferics. In approximately 1% of all waveforms, automated processing identified two 1-hop skywave reflection paths with delays indicative of an intracloud (height greater than 5 km) lightning source origin. For these events it was possible to determine both the height of the source above ground and the virtual reflection height of the ionosphere. Ionosphere heights agreed well with published values of 60 to 95 km with an expected diurnal variation. Source height determinations for 100,000+ intracloud lightning events ranged from 7 to 20 km AGL with negative-polarity events occurring above ~ 15 km and positive-polarity events occurring below ~ 15 km. The negativepolarity events are at a suprisingly high altitude and may be associated with discharges between the upper charge layer of a storm and a screening layer of charge above the storm. Approximately 100 of the intracloud events with LASA height determinations were also recorded by VHF receivers on the FORTE satellite. Independent FORTE source height estimates based on delays between direct and ground-reflected radio emissions showed excellent correlation with the VLF/LF estimates, but with a +1 km bias for the VLF/LF height determinations. INDEX TERMS: 2494 Ionosphere: Instruments and techniques: 3304 Meteorology and Atmospheric Dynamics: Atmospheric electricity; 3324 Meteorology and Atmospheric Dynamics: Lightning; 3394 Meteorology and Atmospheric Dynamics: Instruments and techniques; KEYWORDS: intracloud lightning, height determination, ionospheric propagation

Citation: Smith, D. A., M. J. Heavner, A. R. Jacobson, X. M. Shao, R. S. Massey, R. J. Sheldon, and K. C. Wiens (2004), A method for determining intracloud lightning and ionospheric heights from VLF/LF electric field records, *Radio Sci.*, *39*, RS1010, doi:10.1029/2002RS002790.

1. Introduction

[2] Energetic intracloud (IC) discharges are isolated lightning events that occur in thunderstorms and produce both very powerful HF/VHF radiation and distinctive narrow bipolar electric field change pulses. Initial ground-based observations of these events were published by *Krider et al.* [1975], *LeVine* [1980], *Willett et al.* [1989], and *Medelius et al.* [1991]. The discharges have been a topic of heightened interest in recent years, having been studied by the Blackbeard satellite payload [*Holden et al.*, 1995; *Massey and Holden*, 1995; *Massey et al.*, 1998], the FORTE satellite [*Jacobson et al.*, 1999, 2000], the New Mexico Tech Lightning Mapping Array (LMA) [*Rison et al.*, 1999], the Los Alamos Sferic Array (LASA) [*Smith et al.*, 2002], and other ground-based broadband receiving systems [*Smith*, 1998; *Smith et al.*, 1999a, 1999b].

[3] Energetic ICs (or EICs), as the discharges will be referred to in this paper, have previously been referred to as compact intracloud discharges (CIDs) [*Smith*, 1998; *Smith et al.*, 1999b], energetic bipolars (Krehbiel, private communications), and bipolar events [*Rison et al.*, 1999]. Their low frequency and high frequency emissions have also been given a variety of monikers. The field change waveforms were described by *Willett et al.* [1989] as narrow positive and narrow negative bipolar pulses (NPBPs and NNBPs). EIC VHF emissions, when recorded from space along with a ground reflection, were dubbed transionospheric pulse pairs (TIPPs) by *Holden et al.* [1995].

[4] Terminology notwithstanding, energetic ICs are distinguished from other lightning events by several notewor-

¹Now at Department of Physics, University of Alaska Southeast, Juneau, Alaska, USA.

Copyright 2004 by the American Geophysical Union. 0048-6604/04/2002RS002790\$11.00



Figure 1. A 4 station sferic array observation of a NPBP at 04:47:27.085323 on September 30, 1999. The names of the four recording stations and the great circle distance between the sferic array determined location and each station is indicated. The ionosphere and ground-ionosphere reflected pulses are indicated by small arrows. Note the polarity reversal of the reflected pulses between the near stations and the more distant stations. At greater distances from the source, the reflections undergo quasi-Brewster angle effects by the ionospheric reflection which cause the polarity reversal.

thy characteristics, including the following: 1. The discharges are the most powerful source of lightning radiation in the HF and VHF radio bands [LeVine, 1980; Willett et al., 1989; Holden et al., 1995; Massey and Holden, 1995; Smith et al., 1999a; Jacobson et al., 1999; Rison et al., 1999; Jacobson and Shao, 2001]. 2. Energetic ICs are typically isolated in time from other detectable discharges on a time scale of at least a few milliseconds, but often represent the initial event in an otherwise 'normal' intracloud lightning flash [Smith, 1998; Rison et al., 1999; Jacobson and Light, 2003]. Jacobson [2003] reports a study of the flash context between Energetic ICs and other VHF detected pulses. 3. Energetic ICs occur in both positive and negative polarities, as previously documented by Willett et al. [1989] and *Medelius et al.* [1991]. The negative-polarity events were not observed in the earlier work by Smith et al. [1999a] (most likely because of the small population of NBE events studied), but have been observed since [Smith et al., 2002] and are a topic of this paper.

[5] The FORTE satellite and Los Alamos Sferic Array are two resources that have been utilized to study energetic ICs. This paper describes a method for determining discharge heights from multi-station electric field change data. The method is developed from one described by *Smith et al.*

[1999b], which utilized the delays of ionosphere and ground reflections with respect to ground wave signals to determine source and ionosphere heights. The improved method includes consideration for spherical earth geometry and utilizes modeling of skywave reflections to determine times of arrival of sky wave signals accurately. The method is applied to hundreds of thousands of events, whereas the previous method was applied to tens of events. Also presented in this paper is a comparison of discharge heights determined by the sferic array (using VLF/LF radio emissions) and by the FORTE satellite (using VHF radio emissions, as described by Jacobson et al. [1999]). The comparison is made using 100 coincident intracloud lightning events recorded during 3 years of cooperative observations. IC lightning altitudes are of interest because they provide insight about the meteorological conditions in discharge source regions. IC discharges are more prevalent than cloud-to-ground discharges and almost more indicative of the meteorological evolution of thunderstorms (and their related hazards such as microbursts, hail, or tornadoes). Having two largely-independent evaluations of source heights builds confidence in the height determinations and also provides validation for the two source height determination methods.



Figure 2. The direct, ionosphere reflection, and groundionosphere reflection paths for an intracloud pulse to an electric field change meter (FCM) station are illustrated. The FORTE direct and ground-reflection geometries are also indicated. (The source height h is exaggerated relative to the ionospheric height H, for purposes of clarity.)

2. Los Alamos Sferic Array

2.1. Background

[6] The Los Alamos Sferic Array (LASA) has been described in detail by Smith et al. [2002]. In brief, LASA is a collection of field change meters (measuring transient changes in the vertical electric field) that has been operated since May 1998. The geographic focus of the array has evolved to take advantage of other meteorologic instruments or studies and has consisted of as many as eleven electric field change meters located (at various times) at twenty different locations in New Mexico, Florida, Colorado, Texas, and Nebraska. The stations have reasonable constant response between 300 Hz and 300 kHz. The station sensitivity is set by local noise conditions but is generally about 1 V/m at the sensor. The convention used for the polarity of electric field change measurements is that a negative cloud to ground lightning discharge has an initially negative going electric field change record. The array stations record and time tag (using GPS clocks with better than 2 µs absolute accuracy) triggered field change waveforms, 24 hours per day. Once per day the waveform time stamps from all stations are compared to identify coincidences. Coincident waveforms are transferred via the Internet to Los Alamos National Laboratory, where lightning events are located, classified, and characterized. Over seven million lightning discharges have been processed by the array during 4+ years of operation.

2.2. VLF/LF Source Height Methodology

[7] The narrow bipolar field change pulses produced by EICs are both narrow (lasting less than 20 μ s) and isolated (typically by at least several hundred μ s) compared to other VLF/LF emissions from lightning processes [*Smith et al.*, 1999b]. The use of bipolar as part of the descriptive terminology applied to these waveforms is much stricter than the typical use of "bipolar' indicates: the direct pulse has a monopulse of each polarity with very little other contribution to the waveform. Because of these characteristics, it is often possible to unequivocally identify their VLF/LF reflections from the ionosphere and earth in the

8 ms sferic waveforms most commonly recorded by LASA. Figure 1 shows an example of a NPBP recorded by 4 array stations. Reflections are evident in each of the waveforms, which are presented in order from nearest to farthest distance between the source and the LASA receivers. Note the systematic relationship in the reflection delays as a function of range: with increasing range, the delays from the groundwave pulse to the two reflections decrease as a result of the shrinking differential path length. The geometry responsible for the VLF/LF reflection pairs is illustrated in Figure 2, which also shows the geometry for the case of VHF signals are received by a satellite from outside of the earth's ionosphere (discussed later in this paper). Note that the polarities of the leading edge of the reflections in Figure 1 change between the ranges of \sim 150 and \sim 200 km. Both the polarity shift and range/delay relationship are better illustrated in Figure 3 which shows examples of clean sferic waveforms (not from the same discharge) recorded by sensors on different occasions (nighttime only) at several ranges under good ionospheric conditions. The two curved lines that cross the waveforms indicate the predicted ionospheric delays for skywave reflections as a function of range for a source at a height of 12 km and an ionospheric virtual height of 86 km. The measured delays agree well with the predictions, and the leading-edge polarity reversal is evident between the ranges of 150 to 200 km.

[8] Multiple ground and ionospheric reflections of low frequency lightning sferics have previously been used to determine both the range to distant lightning return strokes and the effective reflection height of the ionosphere. Kinzer [1974] used a single sensing station and flat-earth geometry to make the source range and ionosphere height determinations from measurements of one-hop and two-hop reflection delays with respect to ground wave times of arrival. McDonald et al. [1979] improved and validated the Kinzer technique by introducing spherical-earth geometry and validating range determination through the use of a second sensing station. Smith [1998] and Smith et al. [1999b] expanded the previous techniques to permit determination of intracloud source heights for pulses with sufficiently short durations that their reflections were distinguishable from each other and from the ground wave. The method works most effectively for energetic intracloud events, because the field change pulses are powerful, isolated, and short in duration. The automated routine needs to be improved to determine the heights of a series of multiple pulses for other IC or leader pulses associated with CG events. Even for EIC events, the misidentification of pulses is the major source of error for this method.

[9] In the previous work described by *Smith* [1998] and *Smith et al.* [1999b], ionospheric reflections were manually identified to determine the delay times between groundwave pulses and subsequent skywave reflections. Also, flat-earth geometry was assumed in order to simplify source and ionosphere height determinations. For the purpose of the work described in this paper, an ionospheric-reflection model from *Volland* [1995] was incorporated to assure a consistent, quantitative method for determining both the reflection delays and the reflected waveform for automatic identification.

[10] The differences between a spherical-earth and flatearth model increase with distance and can be quite



Figure 3. This figure illustrates the effect of distance from source on delay of reflected pulses. The two curved lines are the theoretical curved-earth delays for the ionosphere and ground-ionosphere pulses for a source at 12 km altitude and an ionospheric virtual height of 86 km. The waveforms are from multiple NNBP's which had source and ionospheric heights within ± 1 km of 12 km and 86 km, respectively. While the initial pulse is negative for all waveforms, the reflected pulse polarities are reversed for the more distant stations. The amplitudes of the electric-field waveforms are arbitrarily scaled for display purposes. Note that two-hop ionospheric-reflections are also apparent in the two most distant waveforms.

significant at relatively close distances. For example, given an EIC at 11 km, the flat-earth model introduces a geometrical error of less than 1 km at a distance of 100 km, a 4 km error at 300 km distance, a 12 km error at 700 km range, and 24 km error at a range of 1900 km. Similarly, given an ionospheric height of 80 km, the flat-earth model geometrical error is 2 km for a 100 km EIC distance, 5 km for a 300 km EIC, 16 km for a 700 km EIC, and over 60 km for a 1900 km distance EIC.

[11] Volland [1995] developed a methodology for determining the transfer function of a longwave-sferic through the earth-ionosphere waveguide given the ground conductivity, an effective ionospheric conductivity, and the appropriate angles of ionosphere/earth incidence. This model is considers wave propagation for frequencies below 100 kHz. The ground and ionosphere effective conductivies were assumed to be 5.0 \times 10⁻⁴ and 2.2 \times 10⁻⁶ S/m respectively [Volland, 1995; Wait and Spies, 1974; Field et al., 1985]. The transfer function describes the distortions in the waveshape due to frequency dependent ionspheric reflection. This phenomenon is inversely proportional to the square root of the conductivity. Any errors in the conductivity used for the model result in a small distortion in the model waveshape against which the observed electrific field change is cross-correlated. The effect on EIC or ionospheric height determination is negligible. The transfer function of the vertical electric field for multi-hop paths is a complex function of frequency with dependence on

the geometry of the earth-ionosphere cavity and the effective conductivity of the earth and ionosphere. The transfer function accurately models the observed reflected wave, including the leading-edge polarity reversal at given distances.

[12] Skywave modeling and cross correlation are illustrated in Figure 4. The pictured event is from August 22, 1999 02:47:49.797 and was recorded by the Tampa, Florida station at a range of 269 km. For the routine processing of NBEs, the ground and first reflected sky waves are temporally distinct in the LASA electric-fieldchange record. The time scales of Panels 1, 3, and 4 all have the same zero-reference time, while Panel 2 does not have any propagation delay included, so the zeroreference time is arbitrary. Panel 1 of the figure shows a zoom view of an EIC pulse on a time scale of $\pm 120 \ \mu s$. The groundwave pulse was windowed with a 64-point Hanning window centered 6 points to the right of the trigger point, in order to minimize detrimental effects of noise and isolate the groundwave waveform from the rest of the record prior to modeling. Panel 2 shows the Voland-model generated skywave reflection (note the reduced amplitude scale compared to the groundwave) using the previously mentioned conductivities, and the ionosphere incidence angle (calculated from the known range to the source).

[13] Panel 3 shows a longer excerpt of the raw sferic waveform that includes both the groundwave pulse shown in Panel 1 and the skywave pulses (at delays of ~ 140



Figure 4. An illustration of the method for determining the ionosphere and ground-ionosphere reflection delays. Panel 1 is a plot of the windowed groundwave portion of the electric field change record. Panel 2 shows the skywave output of the Volland model (with an arbitrary time offset). Panel 3 is a plot of an 800 μ s portion of the electric field change record. Panel 4 presents the cross correlation of the model skywave with the data. The first and second peaks in the cross correlation (indicated by asterisks) correspond to the time-tagged skywave pulses.

and $\sim 175 \ \mu s$ respectively). Panel 4 shows the result of cross correlation of the modeled skywave pulse (Panel 2) with the Panel 2 sferic waveform. Note that two cross correlation peaks appear at the approximate times of the skywave pulses. The times of the peaks (indicated by asterisks) are the best estimates of the pulse times of arrival.

[14] All computations were performed under the assumption of spherical-earth propagation geometry. The path-

length difference, d_i , between the groundwave and direct 1-hop ionospheric reflection is

$$d_i = \sqrt{(r_E + h_i)^2 + (r_E + h_s)^2 - 2(r_E + h_i)(r_E + h_s)\cos\phi_1} + \sqrt{(r_E + h_i)^2 + r_E^2 - 2(r_E + h_i)r_E\cos\phi_2} - d$$

where *d* is the arc-distance between the source and the receiver, r_E is the earth radius, h_i and h_s are the ionosphere



Figure 5. Histogram of energetic intracloud event heights as determined by the sferic array. The red solid curve (with pluses) is the positive EIC distribution, and the green dashed curve (with triangles) is the negative EIC distribution. A total of 115,537 event heights are plotted in 0.5 km bins. See color version of this figure in the HTML.

and source heights, ϕ_1 is the angle subtended by the raypath between the source and the ionospheric-reflection point (measured from the center of the earth), and ϕ_2 is the geo-centric angle subtended by the ionosphere-reflection point to the receiver. Similarly, the path-length difference between the groundwave and the ground-ionosphere 1-hop reflection, d_{gi} , is

$$d_{gi} = \sqrt{(r_E + h_s)^2 + r_E^2 - 2(r_E + h_s)r_E \cos \phi_3} + 2\sqrt{(r_E + h_i)^2 + r_E^2 - 2(r_E + h_i)r_E \cos \phi_4} - d$$

where ϕ_3 is the geo-centric angle subtended by the ray path between the source and the ground-relection and ϕ_4 is the geo-centric angle subtended by the ground-reflection andionospheric-reflection point (and also the ionosphericreflection point to the receiver). Using the two lag-times determined by the cross-correlation of the Volland-model output, the source and ionosphere heights are computed using minimum mean square error techniques as implemented via the amoeba algorithm presented by *Press et al.* [1992].

[15] Processing of sferic waveforms proceeded automatically in this fashion for all events identified as EICs (using pulse duration and isolation criteria described by *Smith et al.* [2002]). Software was written to window and cross correlate data and then perform twin peak detection on the correlated output. A number of criteria were implemented to assure that only waveforms with a high confidence in the skywave delay estimates were passed on to the height determination routine. Among the criteria were limits on the minimum cross-correlation values, minimum signal-tonoise ratios, and maximum widths of both correlation peaks, as well as a limit on the maximum separation between the peaks. Waveforms that passed these criteria had their delays passed to the next step in LASA processing, which was determination of the source and ionosphere heights given the delays between the groundwave and skywave pulses.

[16] Each event in the LASA database of located lightning discharges is associated with at least three waveforms recorded by different stations, since that is the minimum number of sensors required for making a two-dimensional (latitude and longitude) location determination. The analyses described in this section were performed on each waveform recorded for each event. In cases for which multiple waveforms resulted in height estimates, the mean height from all waveforms was used to represent the event height.

[17] Approximately 1% of LASA events have a height determination. Less than 5% of all events are EICs, which is a prerequisite for an attempt to determine event height. Of the EICs, about 20% have a well-defined ionospheric reflection in the waveform. The lack of reflected pulses in the waveform may be due to local noise conditions, propagation issues, or poor event-receiver geometry.

2.3. VLF/LF Source Height Results

[18] Source heights were determined for $\sim 100,000$ EICs recorded by LASA from April 1998 to December 2001. All of these events were associated with narrow positive or narrow negative bipolar field change pulses as identified by automatic array processing software. The ratio of positives to negatives was approximately 58:42. Source heights were found to follow a bimodal distribution that correlated well with event polarity. Figure 5 shows histograms of source



Figure 6. A scatterplot of the ionospheric vitual height as a function of local time shows a clear diurnal variation. The times and heights correspond well to previous ionospheric height observations, increasing confidence in the method described in this paper.

height for positive-polarity and negative-polarity EICs for all events in the LASA sferic database. The median heights for positives and negatives were 13 km above ground level (AGL) and 18 km AGL respectively. Note that the heights are given as AGL. In the geometry described earlier, all stations and earth reflection points were assumed to have the same elevation (on a spherical earth with a radius of 6370 km). This is a reasonable assumption for the events occurring near Florida, where the maximum elevation is <200 m above mean sea level (MSL). The assumption is not as good in New Mexico and Colorado, where station elevations were as high as 2250 m. For these events it may be necessary to add up to ~2 km to heights to obtain MSL values. However, the vast majority of events were recorded in the vicinity of Florida.

2.4. VLF/LF Ionosphere Heights

[19] Virtual ionosphere heights determined using the methods described earlier were generally in the range of 60 to 95 km. A scatterplot of ionosphere height as a function of local time of day (at the source location) is shown in Figure 6. The plot clearly shows diurnal variations between \sim 86 km during the night and \sim 70 km during the

day. These values agree well with previous studies of the virtual ionospheric reflection height as a function of time of the day [*Belrose*, 1964; *Field et al.*, 1985]. The ionosphere height decreases during the day due to solar extreme ultraviolet photons which ionize atomic oxygen in the upper atmosphere, increasing the total ionization.

[20] The techniques used to determine both the ionosphere heights and intracloud lightning source heights are validated by the clear diurnal variations in ionosphere height. Additionally the range in ionosphere heights for a given time of day (90% of events following within ± 2 km of the mean) implies that the uncertainty in source heights is no greater than ± 2 km. The uncertainty in the determined height is actually less than 2 km, because the observed range in ionosphere height for a given local time results from both physical day-to-day variation of the ionospheric height as well as uncertainty associated with the method.

3. FORTE RF System

3.1. Background

[21] The FORTE satellite was launched August 1997 with instrumentation capable of making both radio frequency



Figure 7. This example of a FORTE leader and return stroke observation illustrates the altitude determination of FORTE for pulse pairs. Panel 1 is an 8 ms FORTE RF record. The event is a return stroke with strong leader activity. This interpretation is confirmed by the LASA observations (not shown). Panel 2 shows a plot of a temporal zoom around the FORTE TIPPs. The FORTE heights determined based on the LASA return stroke location and the separation between the pulse pairs are shown. The descending leader steps provide confidence in the FORTE method.

(RF) [Jacobson et al., 1999] and optical [Light et al., 2001; Suszcynsky et al., 2000] observations of lightning. The orbit altitude is approximately 820 km at an inclination of 70°, providing at most ~15 minutes coverage of any ground spot. The FORTE RF payload consists of two tunable receivers with 22 MHz bandwidths and one tunable 85 MHz bandwidth receiver. The FORTE radio systems and typical observations are described by Jacobson et al. [1999]. The FORTE optical package consists of a fast, nonimaging photometer and a slower CCD array. The FORTE satellite has collected over 4 million VHF waveforms since its launch in August 1997.

3.2. VHF Source Height Methodology

[22] For a favorable FORTE-source geometry (as illustrated in Figure 2), intracloud lightning events produce RF pulse pairs (separated temporally by as much as 120 μ s) from the direct and ground-reflected propagation paths, as illustrated in Figure 7. These pairs are called Trans-Ionospheric Pulse Pairs (TIPPs). The FORTE RF data are processed on the ground by applying spectral whitening (to remove anthropogenic noise, such as radio and television transmissions) and de-chirping (to remove ionospheric propagation effects). Given a two dimensional geolocation and knowledge of FORTE's location, the source height can be determined from the delay between the pulses, as

described by Jacobson et al. [1999]. Figure 7 presents 8 ms of low-band data from October 4, 2000 at 09:17:07.297. Panel 1 presents a plot of the 8 ms FORTE RF electric field squared record. Three intense pulses of radiation from the onset of the stepped leader are apparent at 1.6-2.1 ms. The return stroke occurs at approximately 6.8 ms. Panel 2 presents the FORTE record for the three leader pulses and indicates the FORTE determined heights. Two similar examples of the determination of intracloud heights using the pulse separation method are presented by Heavner et al. [2002]. Possible sources of error include errors in the two-dimension lightning location, uncertainties in the FORTE location, and errors in the determination of the FORTE RF peak separation. Emprically, altitude errors resulting from the pulse separation method of altitude determination from the FORTE RF records are less than 10%.

3.3. VHF Source Height Results

[23] As previously described, the FORTE RF data analysis is often supplemented by an additional data set that provides the two-dimensional geographic location of lightning flashes. Supplementary datasets including LASA (Edot), the U.K. Meteorological sferic array [*Lee*, 1989], the National Lightning Detection Network [*Cummins et al.*, 1998], and the FORTE Lightning Location System



Figure 8. Relative histograms of FORTE determined EIC heights. The four different systems (UKMet, LASA (Edot), NLDN, and LLS) used to provide the lightning locations result in slightly different histograms, but are in good overall agreement.

(LLS) [Suszcynsky et al., 2001]. The inclusion of the FORTE VHF source height determination is intended to validate the LASA height determinations. Figure 8 shows a histogram of FORTE determined TIPP heights based on

locations provided by the above four systems. The overall agreement of FORTE heights for the four different lightning location systems serves to validate botht the FORTE and LASA height determination methods.



Figure 9. A scatterplot of LASA and FORTE-determined EIC heights. The line plotted shows the case for perfect agreement between the two systems. Positive EICs are plotted as red pluses, and negative EICs are plotted as green triangles. See color version of this figure in the HTML.

Because FORTE does not distinguish polarity of the TIPPs, no polarity information is shown in Figure 8. The lower altitude TIPPS identified through UKMet and LASA (Edot) coincidences at between 5-10 km appear to be statisically significant.

4. Joint SFERIC Array/FORTE Results

[24] The sferic array was originally deployed to support FORTE satellite operations by providing ground truth for lightning discharges. Of the more than 100,000 events in the LASA database with source height estimates, 335 were also recorded by FORTE. Of these events, approximately 100 had independent FORTE height estimates. Figure 9 shows a scatterplot of the LASA heights versus FORTE heights, with positive-polarity events (as identified by LASA) represented as red pluses and negative-polarity events represented as green triangles. The source height determinations from the two platforms are in good agreement, but with a +1.0 km average bias for the LASAderived source heights. Ignoring the bias, 90% of the LASA heights agree within ± 1.0 km of the FORTE heights. We place higher confidence in the FORTE height determinations because the observation geometry is simpler and because the higher frequency radio signals are more closely represented by the geometrical optics assumed by the height determination methods. The outliers in Figure 9 (especially the events with LASA source heights >25 km) are believed to be poor height determinations that slipped through the quality control criteria implemented in LASA automatic processing.

5. Summary and Conclusion

[25] During the 4+ years of LASA observations described in this paper, over one million EICs were detected and located by the array. Using methods developed from those introduced by *Smith* [1998] and *Smith et al.* [1999b], source heights were determined for over 100,000 of these events with both positive and negative polarities.

[26] Positive- and negative-polarity EICs occurred in distinctive altitude regimes with positives occurring between 7 and 15 km AGL and negatives occurring between 15 and 20 km. The distinctness of the two peaks shown in Figure 5 suggests that the charge regions responsible for the discharges occur at fairly uniform altitudes over time and location. Furthermore, the polarities of the discharges suggest that the region between the peaks, around 15 km, is a region of positive charge. Although this may not be the case for all thunderstorms, it does appear to be the case for EIC-producing thunderstorms. The majority of the events contributing to this study were from the Florida area.

[27] Ionosphere virtual heights determined using the methods introduced in this paper followed a strict diurnal variation that was consistent with previously published ionosphere heights at VLF/LF frequencies [*Belrose*, 1964; *Field et al.*, 1985]. The agreement with previous observations provides validation for the method. The limited range of ionosphere heights for a given time of day suggests that uncertainties associated with the method are smaller than 2 km.

[28] VHF radio receivers on the FORTE satellite provided independent height estimates for 100 of the LASA-recorded EIC events. The heights determined by the two methods were in good agreement with LASA reporting heights that were on average 1.0 km higher than the FORTE heights. With higher confidence ascribed to the FORTE height determination method, the bias is believed to be associated with the LASA height determination technique. Thus heights presented throughout this paper should be reduced by 1 km in order to be consistent with the FORTE results. The good agreement (generally within 1 km after removal of the bias) between the two techniques suggests that both provide a valid means of determining the heights of intracloud lightning discharges.

[29] Acknowledgments. This work was performed under the auspices of the United States Department of Energy. LANL personnel who also made significant contributions include M. Carter, D. Roussel-Dupré, M. Pongratz, M. Eberle, and A. Waldrum. We thank the following individuals and institutions who have hosted LANL Sferic Array stations: T. Hamlin, J. Harlin, P. Krehbiel, B. Rison, M. Stanley, and R. Thomas of NM Tech; R. Griego, J. King, D. Klassen, and H. Pleasant of Eastern NM Univ.; J. Morgan of Mesa Tech. College; D. Morss and B. Strabley of Creighton Univ.; M. Brooks, J. Madura, and F. Merceret of NASA KSC; R. Chang, D. Rabson, and D. Spurgin of the Univ. of South FL; Walter, Leslie, and Cheryl Peterson of Cyberstreet in Fort Myers; P. Hackett, T. Kelly, S. Morgera, V. Ungvichian, and H. Vansant of FL Atlantic Univ.; J. Goetten, V. Rakov, K. Rambo, and M. Uman of the Univ. of FL; S. Patterson and T. Trost of Texas Tech Univ.; G. McHarg, K. Broome, and D. Vititoe of the U.S. Air Force Academy; R. Olson of Plains Network; J. Fulton of Plains Telephone; and W. Petersen, S. Rutledge, and W. Naylor of Colorado State Univ; D. Rabson and P. Mukherjee of Univ. S. FL; L. Jones and J. Park of Univ. Central FL; and J. Olivero and D. Burke of Embry Riddle Aero. Univ. M. Heavner acknowledges many fruitful discussions with C. Talus

References

- Belrose, J. S. (1964), Present knowledge of the lowest ionosphere, in *Propagation of Radio Waves at Frequencies Below 300 kc/s*, p. 3, Macmillan, Old Tappan, N. J.
- Cummins, K. L., M. J. Murphy, E. A. Bardo, W. L. Hiscox, R. B. Pyle, and A. E. Pifer (1998), A combined TOA/MDF technology upgrade of the U. S. National Lightning Detection Network, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103(D8), 9035–9044.
- Field, E. C., J. L. Heckscher, P. A. Kossey, and E. A. Lewis (1985), Some aspects of long wave propagation, in *Handbook of Geophysics and the Space Environment*, chap. 10.2, Air Force Geophys. Lab., Bedford, Mass.
- Heavner, M. J., D. A. Smith, A. R. Jacobson, and R. J. Sheldon (2002), LF/ VLF and VHF lightning fast stepped leader observations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107(D24), 4791, doi:10.1029/2001JD001290.
- Holden, D. N., C. P. Munson, and J. C. Devenport (1995), Satellite observations of transionospheric pulse pairs, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 22(8), 889–892.
- Jacobson, A. R. (2003), How do the strongest radio pulses from thunderstorms relate to lightning flashes?, J. Geophys. Res., 108(D24), 4778, doi:10.1029/2003JD003936.
- Jacobson, A. R., and T. E. L. Light (2003), Bimodal radiofrequency pulse distribution of intracloud-lightning signals recorded by the forte satellite, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108(D9), 4266, doi:10.1029/2002JD002613.
- Jacobson, A. R., and X. Shao (2001), Using geomagnetic birefringence to locate sources of impulsive, terrestrial VHF signals detected by satellites on orbit, *Radio Sci.*, 36(4), 671–680.
- Jacobson, A. R., S. O. Knox, R. Franz, and D. C. Enemark (1999), FORTE observations of lightning radio-frequency signatures: Capabilities and basic results, *Radio Sci.*, *34*(2), 337–354.
- Jacobson, A. R., K. L. Cummins, M. Carter, P. Klingner, D. Roussel-Dupré, and S. O. Knox (2000), FORTE radio-frequency observations of lightning strokes detected by the National Lightning Detection Network, J. Geophys. Res., 105(D12), 15,653–15,662.
- Kinzer, G. (1974), Cloud-to-ground lightning versus radar reflectivity in Oklahoma thunderstorms, J. Atmos. Sci., 31(3), 787–799.
- Krider, E., G. Radda, and R. Noggle (1975), Regular radiation field pulses produced by intracloud lightning discharges, J. Geophys. Res., 80(27), 3801–3804.
- Lee, A. C. L. (1989), Ground truth confirmation and theoretical limits of an experimental VLF arrival time difference lightning flash locating system, *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, 115(489), 1147–1166.

LeVine, D. M. (1980), Sources of the strongest RF radiation from lightning, J. Geophys. Res., 85, 4091–4095.

- Light, T. E., D. M. Suszcynsky, and A. R. Jacobson (2001), Coincident radio frequency and optical emissions from lightning, observed with the FORTE satellite, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *106*(22), 28,223–28,231.
- Massey, R., and D. Holden (1995), Phenomenology of transionospheric pulse pairs, *Radio Sci.*, 30(5), 1645–1659.
- Massey, R. S., S. O. Knox, R. C. Franz, D. N. Holden, and C. T. Rhodes (1998), Measurements of transionospheric radio propagation parameters using the FORTE satellite, *Radio Sci.*, 33(6), 1739–1753.
- McDonald, T., M. Uman, J. Tiller, and W. Beasley (1979), Lightning location and lower-ionospheric height determination from 2-station magneticfield measurements, J. Geophys. Res., 84(C4), 1727–1734.
- Medelius, P. J., E. M. Thomson, and J. S. Pierce (1991), E and DE/DT waveshapes for narrow bipolar pulses in intracloud lightning, in *Proceed*ings of the International Aerospace and Ground Conference on Lightning and Static Electricity, NASA Conf. Publ., CP-3106, 12-1–12-10.
- Press, W. H., S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Betterling, and B. P. Flannery (1992), *Numerical Recipes in C*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, New York.
- Rison, W., R. J. Thomas, P. R. Krehbiel, T. Hamlin, and J. Harlin (1999), A GPS-based three-dimensional lightning mapping system: Initial observations in central New Mexico, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 26, 3573–3576.
- Smith, D. A. (1998), Compact intracloud discharges, Ph.D. thesis, Univ. of Colo., Boulder.
- Smith, D. A., X. M. Shao, D. N. Holden, C. T. Rhodes, M. Brook, P. R. Krehbiel, M. Stanley, W. Rison, and R. J. Thomas (1999a), A distinct class of isolated intracloud lightning discharges and their associated radio emissions, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104(D4), 4189–4212.
- Smith, D. A., R. S. Massey, K. C. Wiens, K. B. Eack, X. M. Shao, D. N. Holden, and P. E. Argo (1999b), Observations and inferred physical

characteristics of compact intracloud discharges, in *Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Atmospheric Electricity*, edited by H. Christian, *NASA Conf. Publ.*, *CP-1999-209261*, 6–9.

- Smith, D. A., K. B. Eack, J. Harlin, M. J. Heavner, A. R. Jacobson, R. S. Massey, X. M. Shao, and K. C. Wiens (2002), The Los Alamos Sferic Array: A research tool for lightning investigations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107(D13), 4183, doi:10.1029/2001JD000502.
- Suszcynsky, D., M. W. Kirkland, A. R. Jacobson, R. C. Franz, S. O. Knox, J. Guillen, and J. L. Green (2000), FORTE observations of simultaneous VHF and optical emissions from lightning: Basic phenomenology, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105(D2), 2191–2201.
 Suszcynsky, D., T. Light, S. Davis, J. Green, J. Guillen, and W. Myre
- Suszcynsky, D., T. Light, S. Davis, J. Green, J. Guillen, and W. Myre (2001), Coordinated observations of optical lightning from space using the FORTE photodiode detector and CCD imager, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106(D16), 17,897–17,906.
- Volland, H. (1995), Longwave sferics propagation within the atmospheric waveguide, in *Atmospheric Electrodynamics*, vol. 2, pp. 65–93, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Fla.
- Wait, J., and K. Spies (1974), Magneto-telluric fields for a segmented overburden, J. Geomagn. Geoelectr., 26(5), 449–458.
- Willett, J. C., J. C. Bailey, and E. P. Krider (1989), A class of unusual lightning electric field waveforms with very strong high-frequency radiation, J. Geophys. Res., 94, 16,255–16,267.

M. J. Heavner, A. R. Jacobson, R. S. Massey, X. M. Shao, R. J. Sheldon, D. A. Smith, and K. C. Wiens, Space and Atmospheric Sciences Group, NIS-1, MS D466, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA. (smithda@lanl.gov)